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## UPWARDS AND ONWARDS TO THE FINALS

The Milne team (Nick Jacob, GeO Tislevoll, Tony Nunn, Liam Milne, Paul Dalley, James Coutts) has had yet another fantastic run, topping the field with 172.05 VPs ahead of team Haffer (Joe Haffer, Jamie Thompson, Andrew Spooner, Phil Markey) who ended up on 170.99 VPs. These two teams advance to the Semi-Finals with $3^{\text {rd }}-66^{\text {th }}$ (Teams Fischer, van der Vlugt, Buchen, and Neill) as they fight it out in the Round of 4 for two further teams to advance to the Semi Finals. Due to the lack of resources, unfortunately there will not be any vugraph for the Semi Finals, but hopefully the Finals will be broadcasted on BBO.
In the Under 1500, the McMahon team (Jarrad Dunbar, John McMahon, Charles McMahon, Nico Ranson) snatched the first spot after collecting a near-max in the last match, totalling 161.17 VPs, as they will be facing against team Pisko (Frank Hymus, Krystyna Homik, Trish Anagnostou, Margaret Pisko) in the finals.
In the Intermediate, the Elich team (Adrian Riepsamen, Jeff Lassman, David Christian, Gabrielle Elich) dominated the field with 10 wins and 2 losses as they ended on 168.46 VPs. They will be up against team Williams (Kevin Murray, Rob Holgate, Neil Williams, Peter Fitzmaurice) in the finals.
In the Restricted, team Poynten (Craig Taberner, Mary Poynten, Tony White, Jake Andrew) had a strong finish to edge out on top, as they will face the Thomson team (Sally Hall, Rosemary Thomson, Joyanne Gissing, Raelene Clark) in the finals.
In the Novice, team Jia (Jenny Mcgowan, Vanessa Brewis, Wendy Cuthbert, Lilly Jia) had a fantastic final day adding 69.72 VPs from their last four matches to a total of 159.78. They will be facing team Ryan (Julie Wallace, Susie Willison, Sue Swanell, Robin Ryan) in the finals.
Good luck to all finalists!

Top 2 finishers in the Teams Championships:
Open: $1^{\text {st }}$ Milne, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Haffer
Under $15001^{\text {st }}$ McMahon, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Pisko
Intermediate: $1^{\text {st }}$ Elich, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Williams
Restricted: $1^{\text {st }}$ Poynten, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Thomson
Novice: $1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{Jia}, 2^{\text {nd }}$ Ryan
The top two team qualifiers in Under 1500, Intermediate, Restricted, and Novice play in the Final.
In the Open, the top two qualifiers advance to the SemiFinals whilst $3^{\text {rd }}-66^{\text {th }}$ qualifiers play in the Quarter Finals first to determine the other two semi-finalists.

## Daily Bulletins Electronic Only

Weather (Fri): $20-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 100 \%$ chance $60-120 \mathrm{~mm}$

## TODAY'S SCHEDULE

## Friday $25^{\text {th }}$ Feb

9:00am \& Teams QF (O) $2 \times 12$ brds
10:00am \& Teams Final $4 \times 12$ (U1500, I, Re, N) \& Ivy Dahler Pairs ( $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{Re}$ ) 1 of 3
\& Seres/McMahon Pairs 1 of 2
\& Novice Pairs 1 of 2
\& Paul Lavings Bridgegear Walk-In Pairs
2:00pm \& Teams SF (O) $3 \times 12$ brds
$\&$ Ivy Dahler Pairs ( $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{Re}$ ) 2 of 3
\& Seres/McMahon Pairs 2 of 2
4 Novice Pairs 2 of 2
\& Paul Lavings Bridgegear Walk-In Pairs
O=Open, I=Intermediate, Re=Restricted, $N=$ Novice
For the remaining schedule, see page 25
(clickable link if viewing online)

| Open |  |  | Under 1500 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Best Mens | Team 10 | Pollock | Third | Team 5 | Kelly |
| Best Mixed | Team 16 | Bloom | Best Same Sex | Team 24 | Norman |
| Best Seniors | Team 5 | Krochmalik | Best Mixed | Team 3 | Evans |
| Intermediate |  |  | Restricted |  |  |
| Third | Team 6 | Van Bakel | Third | Team 1 | Brown |
| Best Same Sex | Team 30 | Macey | Best Same Sex | Team 23 | Carter S |
| Best Mixed | Team 16 | Rayani | Best Mixed | Team 28 | Smuts |
| Best Qld | Team 19 | Hartwig | Best Qld | Team 3 | Markovic |
| Novice |  |  |  |  |  |
| Third | Team 13 | Lee |  |  |  |
| Best Same Sex | Team 2 | Vazirzadeh |  |  |  |
| Best Mixed | Team 1 | Sydes |  |  |  |

## MORE WINNERS



N/S Winners of the Thursday Rookie Pairs:
Marcia Cameron - Barry Braithwaite


ENW Winners of the Thursday Rookie Pairs:
Carole Bloomer - Jill Cavanagh


Winners of the 0-50 MP Butler Swiss Pairs:
Louise Bennet - Stephen Bennett


Runners Up of the Thursday Rookie Pairs:
Helen Blake - Jenny Munro

# OPEN TEAMS QUALIFYING MATCHES SEVEN AND EIGHT <br> A very particular set of skills 

Nick Jacob
The afternoon of the second day of the Open Teams qualifying provided players with the opportunity to showcase skills acquired over very long careers, skills that make them a nightmare for opponents like you and me. Indeed the session was a technical quagmire, featuring challenging contracts, sharp defences and quarrelsome auctions.

|  | ¢ K 10 |  | West Leibowitz | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | - 873 |  |  |  | Hung |  |  |
| Brd 8 | - 62 |  | 14 Pass | Pass | 2\%* | 2 |  |
|  | \& 1098732 |  |  | Pass | 24 | Pas |  |
| ¢ Q J 764 |  | ¢ A 53 | 3\% | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |
| - J 4 |  | - AKQ 106 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -1094 |  | -K73 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| \& AK J |  | \& 54 | 5 | - | 5 | - | NT |
|  | ¢ 982 |  | 4 | - | 5 | - | ¢ |
|  | -952 |  | 4 | - | 5 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - A Q J 85 |  | 2 | - | 3 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& Q 6 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | 9 |

Several tables began with an artificial $2 \&$ response to the 14 opening, which gave South the opportunity to dip their oar in with a leaky $2 \checkmark$ call.

Andy Hung has made a career out of this sort of auction, sniffing out the objective of the hand and backing his judgement. Tony Leibowitz came to the party with a thoughtful 3\% call, describing the key feature of his hand that enabled Andy to declare an unassailable 3NT from East. With their teammates defeating $4 ¢$ at the other table, Andy and Tony won 11 IMPs for their side.
Many Wests in the same position had to declare $4 \$$ on the challenging lead of a diamond from North. The immediate taking of the diamond ruff (diamond jack, diamond ace, diamond ruffed with the spade 10) allows declarer to play spades for no loser, but in practice the spade queen was advanced, covered perforce, and South's 9-8-x of spades were promoted into a trump trick.
At your humble editor's table, the diamond jack took trick one and the club six was returned. Declarer can lock up the contract by taking a spade finesse, but does the diamond overcall on the right make the spade king more likely to be offside? Naught can be done if South holds king-doubleton in spades, but if South holds king-third, ace and another spade will do the trick.
Declarer should take the spade finesse, because with king-third of spades, South would have tried for a diamond ruff instead of switching to this club. When declarer played a spade to the ace and another to North's king, North was at the crossroads. Was it time to deliver a club ruff or was it time to cash two diamonds?
There are several clues. First, with a singleton club, South may have won the diamond Queen at trick 1, convincing North that there can be no benefit to continuing diamonds through dummy's King. Second, in what order did South play their trumps? Does high-low show three, and hence the ability to trump a side suit? Or is it suit-preference, asking for a return in the higher suit?
"I don't even know what that means."
"Nobody knows what it means, but it's provocative. It gets the people going!"
Return a club and concede -450 . Return a diamond and win +50 .


The wildest board of the day saw most Easts open a game-forcing $2 \&$ when North/South were cold for slam.
This looked like a good result for Avi Kanetkar and Matthew Thomson, who successfully convinced Nick Jacob and GeO Tislevoll that the hand belonged to East/West. Your editor went gently into the night with the South cards. Perhaps a stronger call of 4 NT over $4 \checkmark$ would have pushed the auction to the six level.
5 was not without chances. King-third spade onside and the contract is cold. Even at the end, Matthew could have made had North been dealt ace-queen or queen-ten doubleton in clubs. As it was, he was two off for -100.
At the other table, the bidding was identical until $4 \boldsymbol{\text { , but there the auction rested. A difference in philosophies in }}$ the partnerships' defensive approaches against $2 \boldsymbol{q}$ openings, perhaps. If you are an obstruction-purist, the vulnerability shouldn't sway you greatly, and South should give North a little leeway. If you are a descriptionpurist, the vulnerability should declare to South that North does have a reasonable hand for this $4 \diamond$ call. In any case, that was +420 for 11 IMPs to MILNE. Well done to all those who found the excellent 6 contract.
The obstruction-purists suffered again on the following board.

| Dealer: South | -K1087 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - J 72 |  | P. Evans | Humphries | Hooykaas | J. Brake |
| Brd 19 | - K 103 |  |  |  |  | $2 \otimes$ ! |
|  | +652 |  | 2NT | 3 ! | Dbl | Pass |
| - A 5 |  | - Q 963 | 3NT | Pass | 6NT | All Pass |
| -K105 |  | - A Q 86 |  |  |  |  |
| - Q 87 |  | - A 9 |  | Makeab | le Contr | acts |
| \& A Q 973 |  | \& K J 4 | 6 | - | 6 | NT |
|  | ¢ J 42 |  | 5 | - | 4 | - |
|  | -943 |  | 6 | - | 6 | $\bullet$ |
|  | - J 6542 |  | 3 | - | 3 | - |
|  | -108 |  | 6 | - | 6 | - $\quad 8$ |

Peter and Paul passed up a profuse penalty to pursue a prickly slam. The obstruction purists will point to the fortune of this contract, which needs four heart tricks and a successful guess in one of the rounded suits.
Peter didn't know which of his opponents to believe, so he trusted neither of them. He led towards the spade Queen and soon had his twelfth trick for a perfect +1440 .
Andrew Spooner goosed one two days ago; here was his chance for redemption.



Martin Bloom found the highly deceptive lead of the diamond 7, covered by the king and ace, with Andrew Spooner contributing the equally deceptive 8 . Now the diamond 6 was returned to the 4,2 and queen. Andrew advanced the spade jack, covered by Shane Harrison with the king and taken with the ace.
How could declarer handle the apparent 4-2 diamond break, with the opening leader clearly holding a doubleton? He needed one entry to dummy to trump a diamond high and a second entry to dummy to cash the diamond Jack and the long diamond winner. With spades 2-2 it would be easy enough - just cross to the spade 10, saving the deuce to cross to dummy's 6 . But why would an experienced operator like Shane cover the spade jack with king doubleton, gaining nothing and losing when partner has a stiff honour?
He wouldn't, reasoned Andrew. So he finessed the spade 6, trumped a diamond with the queen and found out the suit was $3-3$ all along, and crossed to the spade 10 to cash his two diamonds. An admirable 10 tricks for +420 and 6 IMPs to HAFFER.

## OPEN TEAMS QUALIFYING MATCHES NINE Attack on Titans

Liam Milne
In the top-of-the-table clash first match in the morning, HAFFER drew MILNE. Jo Haffer and Jamie Thompson played North-South against James Coutts and Liam Milne, while Nick Jacob and GeO Tislevoll sat North-South for MILNE against Andrew Spooner and Phil Markey.

| Dealer: East | - A Q 7 |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N -S | -KQJ864 |  | Milne | Thompson | Couts | Haffer |  |
| Brd 2 | - J 104 |  |  |  | $1 \checkmark$ | 2. |  |
|  | \& J |  | 3 | 4 | Pass | Pass |  |
| -985 |  | - J | Dbl | All Pass |  |  |  |
| - A 105 |  | - 732 |  |  |  |  |  |
| -932 |  | - AKQ 876 |  | Makeable | le Con | acts |  |
| \& AK 108 |  | -932 | 1 | - | 1 | - | NT |
|  | - K 106432 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | - |
|  | $\bullet 9$ |  | - | - | - | - | $\bullet$ |
|  | - 5 |  | 5 | - | 5 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& Q 7654 |  | 1 | - | 1 |  | 4 |

Over $2 \uparrow$, West has a difficult problem. Milne opted for a heavy $3 \diamond$ raise and caught up by doubling $4 \$$ for penalty later. The defence led a trump and Haffer ran it to the jack and his king. A heart up was taken by the king.
Milne cashed the club king and led a diamond to Coutts. He found the only defence to beat the contract by returning a club, forcing off one of dummy's high trumps. Declarer could only cash three hearts throwing clubs, but West was due a trump trick.

Double dummy, the only way for declarer to make this one is to play a diamond at trick two, breaking the defensive communications. That kills the entry to the East hand before dummy gets tapped in clubs.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Markey | Tislevoll | Spooner | N. Jacob |
|  |  | 1 | $2 \downarrow$ |
| Dbl | $4 \oplus$ | Pass | Pass |
| Dbl | Pass | 5 | All Pass |

At the other table, Spooner ended up in the hopeless-looking $5 \star$ contract after Markey took a more aggressive line in the bidding than Milne. Jacob led a heart, and Spooner needed to pick the clubs for four tricks, drawing trumps en route. He opted instead to win the heart and lead a spade from the dummy, hoping North would not fly with an honour, but Tislevoll was happy to cash three tricks for +50 and 6 imps to MILNE.

| Dealer: South | ¢ J 102 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - J 72 |  | Markey | Tislevoll | Spooner | N. Jacob |
| Brd 3 | - A Q 1063 |  |  |  |  | 1NT |
|  | + 64 |  | Pass | $33^{5 \mathrm{~cm}}$ ask | Pass | $3{ }^{1 \text { or } 2 \times 4 \mathrm{M}}$ |
| ¢ 73 |  | ¢ Q 8654 | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |
| - A965 |  | - Q 10 |  |  |  |  |
| - K J 8 |  | -952 |  |  |  |  |
| \& J 1097 |  | \& Q 32 | West | North | East | South |
|  | - AK 9 |  | Milne | Thompson | Coutts | Haffer |
|  | -K843 |  |  |  |  | 1\% |
|  | - 74 |  | Pass | 1 | Pass | 2NT |
|  | \& AK 85 |  | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

A tiny difference in the defence led to a game swing on this hand. At both tables West led the jack of clubs and East encouraged. Markey continued with the club ten and Spooner unblocked the queen; Milne opted instead for the seven to the queen.

Both declarers played a diamond up, West inserting the king and being allowed to hold the trick. Both Wests continued clubs, Markey giving away the ninth trick and Milne setting up a trick.
Jacob cashed out for nine tricks and +400 . Haffer ran the diamonds on which Coutts discouraged spades and Milne encouraged spades. Needing to guess which major suit to finesse, he went for hearts in the end and that was down one and another 10 imps to MILNE.

| Dealer: West | ¢ Q 982 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | -Q1043 |  | Markey | Tislevoll | Spooner | N. Jacob |
| Brd 4 | - 873 |  | Pass | Pass | 24 | 3 |
|  | ¢ K 2 |  | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |
| ¢ 6 |  | ¢ AKJ 1074 |  |  |  |  |
| - J 765 |  | - 982 |  |  |  |  |
| - Q 65 |  | -9 | West | North | East | South |
| \& J 10865 |  | \& A 97 | Milne | Thompson | Coutts | Haffer |
|  | ¢ 53 |  | Pass | Pass | 14 | Dbl |
|  | - AK |  | Pass | 20 | 24 | 3 |
|  | - AK J 1042 |  | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

HAFFER struck back on the very next board. Spooner led out ace, king, ten of spades. When Markey won the diamond queen, he returned a club for Spooner to cash the rest of the spades; NS -300.
Coutts led the spade king then switched to a diamond, hoping for partner to get in and return their hoped-for remaining spade. Partner did have an entry but didn't have a spade: NS +600 and 14 back to HAFFER.

| Dealer: East | - Q 9753 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: Both | -10 |  | Markey | Tislevoll | Spooner | N. Jacob |
| Brd 10 | - 863 |  |  |  | 1 | Pass |
|  | ¢K943 |  | 10 | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| © A 42 |  | - K 6 | 4 | All Pass |  |  |
| - AK97643 |  | $\bullet 2$ |  |  |  |  |
| -10 |  | - AKQJ 74 | West | North | East | South |
| $\& Q J$ |  | +10762 | Milne | Thompso | Coutts | Haffer |
|  | ¢ J 108 |  |  |  | $1{ }^{*}$ | Pass |
|  | - Q J 85 |  | 15 | Pass | 2 | Pass |
|  | -952 |  | 30 | Pass | 3NT | Pass |
|  | +A85 |  | $40^{10}$ |  | Pass | 4 Pass |
|  |  |  | 4 | Pass | 5 NT Prasas | Pass |
|  |  |  | 6 | All Pass |  |  |

Spooner-Markey had a conservative auction to $4 \boldsymbol{V}$. Tislevoll had to guess the lead and chose a spade. No good this time and East-West had 650.

Milne-Coutts had a much more aggressive auction. They also escaped the club lead and Milne was in with a chance, but when trumps did not split the dream was dead; -100 and 13 imps to HAFFER.

| Dealer: East | ¢J97432 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | - 8 |  | Markey | Tislevoll | Spooner | N. Jacob |
| Brd 14 | - 107 |  |  |  | Pass | 14 |
|  | \& A J 109 |  | 1 | $10^{\text {spades }}$ | 2 | $3{ }^{\text {ART forcing }}$ |
| * K 5 |  | - Q 106 | Pass | 34 | Pass | 4¢ |
| - Q J 94 |  | -107632 | All Pass |  |  |  |
| -KQ 854 |  | - A 92 | West | North | East | South |
| \& 74 |  | ¢ 85 | Milne | Thompson | Coutts | Haffer |
|  | - A 8 |  |  |  | Pass | 14 |
|  | - AK 5 |  | 1 | 14 | Dbl | $2 \mathrm{NT}^{17-18}$ |
|  | - J 63 |  | 30 | 3 | Pass | 3NT |
|  | \& K Q 632 |  | All Pass |  |  |  |

Jacob could have doubled 2 as a support double, so he trusted that Tislevoll's 3 was based on a six-card suit. Spooner needed to cash two diamonds on the go, but understandably he didn't want to lead an unsupported ace. On a club lead declarer was able to discard a diamond on the hearts and claim +420 .

Haffer showed a diamond stopper with $>$ Jxx then emphasised it by repeating the notrumps. Milne was convinced and led a heart. Haffer ran his clubs and tried to make a diamond trick by crashing the defensive honours, but the endgame fizzled out. MILNE won 10 imps to win the match.
In a match with plenty of opportunities, MILNE and HAFFER eked out a 43-43 draw to head into the next round in second and third position respectively.
In the POLLOCK vs ASHTON hoedown, Simon Andrew opened 1NT, 1416, and Michael Courtney found a mainstream Courtneyesque bid by jumping to 4. Hung led the normal heart and that was that, NS +420 .
At the other table, Peter Gill opened a strong club and ended up as declarer in 4 4 . West led a diamond honour and again, that was that, NS -50 and 10 imps to POLLOCK. That was on the way to a 5.81 VP loss for the pretournament favourites ASHTON, now looking in danger with three matches to play.


## OPEN TEAMS QUALIFYING MATCH TEN

 Remember The TitansLiam Milne


This deal was all about dealing with North's 4@ preempt. West at many tables competed with a 4NT takeout/twosuited manoeuvre. Should East bid $5 \uparrow$ or $5 \star$ ? Surely 5 is the better call, winning big when partner has both minors but losing when partner has their actual hand.
This time, $5 \checkmark$ gets South into the doubling mood. West corrects to $5 \boldsymbol{}$, South doubles again and North leads their singleton. If North-South get all their tricks, that is a juicy +800 against their non-vulnerable game.
29 of the 70 tables in the field competed to $5 \mathbf{4}$, almost always losing a swing of some sort. With no double fits or voids floating around, this was not the sort of hand to be venturing five over five.

| Dealer: North | - Q 105 |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: E-W | - --- |  | Gosney | Prescott | Lamport | Watts |  |
| Brd 25 | - 975 |  |  | 34 | Dbl | Pass |  |
|  | \& K J 87532 |  | 44 | Pass | 49 | Pass |  |
| ¢ K J 3 |  | ¢ A 874 | 4NT | Pass | 5 | Pass |  |
| -KQJ10874 |  | - A 62 | 70 | All Pass |  |  |  |
| - AK |  | - Q J 83 |  | Makeab | le Contr | acts |  |
| \& Q |  | \% A 9 | 7 | - | 7 | - | NT |
|  | ¢ 962 |  | 6 | - | 6 | - | \$ |
|  | - 953 |  | 7 | - | 7 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | -10642 |  | 7 | - | 6 | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | \& 1064 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | \% |

North preempts in clubs, East doubles and West has to handle a rock-crusher. Brisbane expert Paul Gosney found a cute way to handle the problem. He bid to ask partner to name a suit, then he could keycard on spades to find out about partner's three aces and bid to the cold grand slam. Observe that it did not matter which suit Anne Lamport chose; Gosney held the kings of all of the relevant suits so would always be able to find out about aces.

Andy Hung-Nabil Edgtton had an almost identical auction with Nabil choosing 7NT instead.
David Fryda-David Hudson had a more energetic auction to the grannie:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fryda | Strong | Hudson | Magee |
|  | $3 \boldsymbol{\&}$ | Dbl | Pass |
| $6-$ | Pass | $\ldots 7 \downarrow$ | All Pass |

Fryda showed where his home was with his first bid.
Normally it is not an advised strategy to raise partner's slam leaps to seven; they can be gambling with extreme shape and not need your aces. But with three aces, even if the club ace was wasted, Hudson eventually decided to "risk it for the biscuit" and boost to $7 \boldsymbol{V}$. He was rewarded for his enterprise with a swift claim from partner.

| Dealer: South | - K 62 |  | West | North | East | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: None | - 843 |  | Kozakos | Milne | Smolanko | Coutts |  |
| Brd 27 | - J 1095 |  |  |  |  | $1{ }^{\circ}$ |  |
|  | * Q J 10 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 |  |
| - J 874 |  | - A Q 10953 | 4 | Pass | Pass | 5\% |  |
| - A 105 |  | $\bullet 7$ | 5 | Pass | Pass | 64 |  |
| - A Q 864 |  | -K73 | Dbl | All Pas |  |  |  |
| \& 7 |  | \& 863 |  | Makea | le Contra |  |  |
|  | ¢ --- |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | NT |
|  | -KQJ962 |  | 6 | - | 6 | - | ¢ |
|  | - 2 |  | - | 4 | - | 4 | $\bullet$ |
|  | \& AK9542 |  | 4 | - | 4 | - | - |
|  |  |  | - | 3 | - | 3 | 4 |

It is not that common that you pick up a 6-6 shape hand. In a hotly contested auction, South usually bid to at least 5 \& or $5>$ and East-West had to somehow judge that this was the time to try bidding "five over five". That is surely a difficult task.
George Kozakos did very well on the hand, overcalling $2 \diamond$ then raising spades to the four-level. Over $5 \bullet$, he judged that with his club shortage and partner's marked heart shortage 5 would have a play, and he was right. Coutts guessed to bid $6 \boldsymbol{*}$; operation successful, but the patient died as the other table bought it in 50 for 11 imps in the out column.
Technically $5 \checkmark$ can be defeated by a club lead, heart won and a diamond to partner for a club ruff. This precision defence was not found at any table where North-South played in $5 \bullet$.

## GOLD COAST

## RESCUE

## SERIES ONE

## (GOLD MASTERPOTNTS

## Saturday 5th March

Open and Restricted (Under 300 at $31 / 12 / 21$ )
Butler Swiss Pairs

## Sunday $6^{\text {th }}$ March <br> Open Swiss Teams

Two sessions each day: 9 am and 1 pm AEST
(Queensland Time)
All entries and payments on
 $\$ 40$ per player per day

## https://www.myabf.com.au/events/congress/view/193

Chief Director: Matthew McManus
Tournament Organiser: Kim Ellaway
E: manager@qldbridge.com.au
T: (07)33518602 0412064903
ABF Regulations as modified by the Supplementary Regulations apply. Green and Blue systems only in Restricted. No Yellow systems in Open.


## The Tale of the 2021 and 2022 Gold Coast Congress



In January 2020 Covid hit the world but not the Gold Coast. When players rang to discuss this new disease and the impact on the Congress, I thought it's only a flu. The $59^{\text {th }}$ Gold Coast Congress went ahead without any problems or cases and then a week after, bridge stopped in Australia. The Gold Coast Committee headed by Tim Runting met and agreed they would decide in September the fate of the 2021 event. At this stage a $\$ 30,000$ nonrefundable deposit was paid, as who would have thought the unthinkable could happen. Prior to the second deposit being paid, a second Gold Coast Committee meeting was held and with much regret the 2021 event was cancelled and the initial deposit lost.
In June 2021, we wrote to players on our data base and asked them "Would they support the 2022 event if borders were open and the thought of lockdowns gone" and players said yes. Hence we entered into a contract with the Gold Coast Convention \& Exhibition Centre (GCCEC) with the proviso that if borders closed our deposit would be returned. The steering committee proceeded with their organisation of the 2022 event but with reduced numbers - Hall 1 was unavailable as Eurovision was going to be held and they had booked the room for makeup/ rehearsals etc. By August 2 deposits had been paid and our organising was in full swing. Two new things were going to happen - a 0-1500 masterpoint section in the teams and the use of MyABF, which 95\% of our players had never used.
After the $3^{\text {rd }}$ deposit had been paid, making a total $\$ 180,000$ non-refundable deposit, the omicron strain of Covid hit Australia. On December 24 we were nearly full to capacity with players BUT the withdrawals started to happen. By the end of January, over half the entries had withdrawn. We no longer needed Hall 2 and we realised that the dinner would not be well supported. Entries continued to drop. I was now talking to Tim on a daily basis, I cried every time a withdrawal came through and I was vomiting due to worry on a daily basis. Meetings with the ABF occurred weekly with the ABF giving us advice and financial and administrative support. The GCCEC allowed us to reduce our dinner dance numbers to 200 , a saving of $\$ 15,000$, and we relinquished Hall 2 but at a cost - over $\$ 30,000$. The President of the QBA took me aside and said "It is what it is and it was not my fault or Tim's fault or anyone's fault and let's give those bridge players who were coming a memorable time". We decided against reducing the prize money because it would only punish those who were supporting us and we forged ahead.
We have tried to make the $60^{\text {th }}$ event FABULOUS, FANTASTIC AND MEMORABLE. We have tried to make the event memorable for you, our supporters. The QBA will lose a lot of money because we have hosted the event but we were going to lose more, and not only money, by not hosting it. We will be back in 2023 bigger than ever.
THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR YOUR SUPPORT. THANK YOU TO MY STAFF WHO STUCK WITH ME AND A BIG THANK YOU TO MY HUSBAND WHO HAS BORNE THE BRUNT OF ALL MY STRESS AND FRUSTRATION.

Kim Ellaway
Manager
Queensland Bridge Association
0412064903

# OPEN TEAMS QUALIFYING MATCH TWELVE 

Ah ****, here we go again...
Nick Jacob
The qualification for the Open Teams had been a slugfest. Favoured squads had fallen, battling heroes had risen, and all were looking forward - some with relief, some with hope, some with disappointment - to the final score up.
After a gruelling qualifying run in which the BUCHEN team had played seeds $6,2,8,3$ and 7 in their past five matches, they had run into the nightmare of all draws: number 1 seed, ASHTON, who were galloping towards qualification.
Avi Kanetkar could be seen shaking his head after match 11, muttering away about missing slams. Anyone who knows him knew he would be taking things into his own hands during match 12.

| Dealer: West <br> Vul: Both | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet 103 \\ & \bullet \text { Q } 10986 \end{aligned}$ |  | West Kanetkar | North | M. Thomson | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brd 20 | -962 |  | 14 | Pass | 3 | Pass |  |
|  | \& 653 |  | 4NT | Pass | 5 | Pass |  |
| - AQ9762 |  | ¢ K J 4 | 6 | All Pass |  |  |  |
| -K7 |  | - J 42 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - A Q 85 |  | -K73 | Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| - 10 |  | -A972 | 5 | - | 5 | - | NT |
|  | - 85 |  | 6 | - | 6 | - | - |
|  | - A 53 |  | 2 | - | 2 | - | $\bullet$ |
|  | - J 104 |  | 6 | - | 6 | - | - |
|  | \& K Q J 84 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - | 4 |

Avi Kanetkar does not like to miss slams. For most players, a three card limit raise might inspire a mild slam try. Avi Kanetkar is not most players. He was fed up with missing slams.

This slam wasn't particularly good, but it was playable. And, most importantly for Avi, it was cold. Sartaj Hans's heart 10 lead solved that suit and Avi only needed one favourable break in the pointed suits. +1430 was worth a crucial 12 IMPs to Avi and team BUCHEN.
A few boards later and the tide had turned. The winds had picked up and ASHTON was bringing the storm.
Sitting South, lan might have hoped for a cold game or a quiet partscore on the last board of the qualifying. His team could perhaps qualify with a small loss, but nobody wants that nervous sweat.
Sitting in fourth seat, vulnerable against not, lan Thomson knew it wasn't going to be a quiet hand.
He held: $\uparrow$ A64, ©AKQJ42, AJ6, «A.
The mainstream auction proceeded: 30 from Nabil Edgtton on his left, pass from partner, $4 \checkmark$ natural(!) from Andy Hung on his right.


| Dealer: West | ¢ 973 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vul: N-S | -109 |  |
| Brd 28 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K Q } 10973 \\ & \& \text { Q } 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| - J 108 |  | - K Q 52 |
| - 753 |  | -86 |
| - 42 |  | - 85 |
| -K8652 |  | ¢ J 10973 |
|  | - A 64 |  |
|  | - AKQJ42 |  |
|  | - AJ 6 |  |
|  | \% A |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Edgtton | Buchen | Hung | I. Thomson |
| 3\&! | Pass | $4 \odot!$ | Dbl |
| Pass | 5 | Pass | 6 |

All Pass

| Makeable Contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 7 | - | 7 | NT |
| - | 2 | - | 2 | $\oplus$ |
| - | 7 | - | 7 | - |
| - | 7 | - | 7 | - |
| - | 1 | - | 1 | 4 |

There's no science to deal with a natural preempt and a wild psyche in your solid six-card suit. lan did what we all would have done, shrugged his shoulders and guessed to bid $6 \downarrow$.

The missed grand slam unfortunately cost the BUCHEN team 12 IMPs (as the other table the grand slam was bid uncontested) and gave lan and his team an awful sweat. When everything was tallied up, ASHTON had beaten BUCHEN 16.03-3.97, but the charge by ASHTON had fallen short. BUCHEN had survived and were in the knockouts.

A few pairs made light work of this one. Maybe the briefest auction was achieved by Rakesh Kumar and Jenny Michael.

| West | North <br> Michael | East | South <br> Kumar | Perhaps this hand falls short of a few players' standards for a second <br> seat, vulnerable against not preempt, but Jenny and Rakesh have <br> discussed that this preempt must be sound and have "some useful <br> shape" - not that Jenny seemed to mind here. So Rakesh flamboyantly <br> raised to the cold grand. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass $3 \leqslant$ | Pass | $7 \leqslant$ |  |  |
| All Pass |  |  |  |  | raised to the cold grand.

## GIVES US YOUR IDEAS FOR 2023 AND BEYOND

Thank you for joining our $60^{\text {th }}$ birthday celebration of the Gold Coast Congress 2022. As we scale up towards 2023, we would value your ideas and suggestions as we make the GCC bigger and better than ever.

Click on the following link to take you to a simple two question survey: https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/Q22F5TV
or scan the QR code below with your smart phone


## A Big Thank You

Jinny Fuss has been a part of the GCC team for 7 years as one of the Floor Managers. Jinny comes from Adelaide and rang Kim in 2015 saying she would like to shadow one of the Floor Managers to see how the GCC manages such a high class organisation.
Kim said she could do one better, in that Jinny could be a Floor Manager and can learn the ropes first hand! Seven years later and she has certainly learnt the ropes however it's time to hang up the boots. She promises to be back as a player in the future.
Thank you Jinny

## PHOTOS: DAY SIX



## BARRIER REEF CONGRESS

## TOWNSVILLE 9-13 MAY 2022
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## TOWNSVILLE BRIDGE CLUB



Chief Director: Dr Laurie Kelso Senior Director: Peter Busch
Tournament Organiser: Margaret Dousset 0407145442
Website: www.qldbridge.com.au
Email: brc.townsville@bridgeaustralia.org
Entry via MyABF: www.myabf.com.au/events/

GOLD POINT EVENT


Most players get this wrong, will you?
Same hand different situation 3 (red)
A new same hand, different situation problem will be on your table at the start of each day.
Yesterday's hand came from match 6 of the teams but the vulnerability was presented as nil rather than vul vs not to create some volatility
Will Jenner-O'shea delivered a fantastic talk at lunch time yesterday on defence and followed it up with his insight into this hand just before the end of the lunch break.

```
@ J
*}
* T987
&AKT8765
```

- What would you do if you were the dealer?
"Even though this hand nearly meets the rule of 20, it's not one to open at the 1 level. It is offensive and it looks like the opposition could easily have $4 \bullet$ or $4 \boldsymbol{\$}$ on and you might feel like you want to bid on to sacrifice over that! $4 \lessdot$ or $5 \%$ are my choices. 11+ cards in two suits is always extremely powerful and the 'normal' rules of pre-empting don't really work"
- What would you bid if partner opened 1NT?
"Ideally you want to show your clubs and keep partner involved in the decision. Depending on your agreements this might involve bidding 3\% initially or transferring (and then possibly showing a heart shortage). If partner offers 3NT along the way you might choose to pass it. If partner is happy with clubs it's easy to visualise hands where slam could even be possible!"
- What about if your opponent opened $3 \bullet$ ?
"This one is a very prickly problem. You don't pre-empt over a pre-empt so you wouldn't call $5 \%$. This hand is hard, bidding $4 \&$ could easily go poorly if you get doubled or if 3NT would have been a great spot. You only have 8 HCP and your RHO pre-empted. It's a good idea to sit back for now and pass. That way you will find out if your partner or if your left hand opponent has a good hand."

Same hand, different situation is provided each day by Real Deal Bridge. You can submit your answers at the RDB stand in the foyer for your chance to win some prizes.

## CANBERRA IN BLOOM 2022 SAVE THE DATE

 Friday $30^{\text {th }}$ September to Monday $3^{\text {rd }}$ October

## All Enquiries: Justine Beaumont Email: bfactpresident@gmail.com

## AN ABF GOLD MASTERPOINT TOURNAMENT

## presented by the

Bridge Federation of the ACT and the
Australian Bridge Federation


# DEFENCE IN DETAIL 

Liam Milne


Back again in 2022, Defence in Detail looks at real-life hands from the last six months with a focus on practical plays, counting the hand and logical thinking. These deals are designed to be solvable, so put on your thinking hat and play along as we defend the hand together. Paying attention to declarer's problems can often steer you off the 'obvious' yet unsuccessful line of defence.
Sitting East in a national teams knockout match, you are able to mention your only asset:

- 975 -98 AQ 875 \& K 76

Your opponents are playing the Precision system which gives your side a chance to interfere with their revealing auction.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | $1{ }^{1}{ }^{1}$ | $1 \checkmark$ | Dbl ${ }^{2}$ |
| $1{ }^{1}$ | $1{ }^{1}$ | Pass | 2¢ |
| Pass | $2{ }^{3}$ | Pass | 3NT |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

1. Precision, 16+ any shape
2. 5-7 and shape
3. Asking for heart stopper

Partner dutifully leads the two diamonds and a fair, but minimum, dummy appears:

- AKJ84

Dlr: South
Vul: All

Lead: ${ }^{2}$

- 3
-KJ 104


At trick one, dummy plays low and you win the diamond queen as declarer follows low. When you switch to the nine of hearts, declarer's queen loses to the king. Partner now surprises you by shifting to the queen of clubs!
Declarer wins dummy's club ace and leads another diamond at you. As you win your ace, partner discards the seven of hearts (discouraging).
What is going on, and how do you plan the rest of the defence?

## Solution:

Partner's lead of a singleton diamond rather than their long heart suit suggests that their suit is poor. Their failure to return a heart and their discouraging discard confirms this, so declarer looks to hold at least 『AQxx.
Because declarer has announced a 5-7 point count, we can assume that partner has the spade queen, and at least three cards in the suit given declarer's failure to support their partner. This means that dummy's long spades present no threat as long as we can prevent declarer getting to their hand. If we return a heart now, we risk declarer winning some heart tricks and taking a spade finesse. Instead, our goal must be to continually endplay dummy and keep declarer's hand out of the game. We have four tricks already and as long as partner wins a spade at some point, 3NT is headed for defeat.
We have an easy exit of a diamond back to dummy on this trick. When declarer later plays a club, we must make sure that declarer can't win a trick with their hypothetical $\$ 10$; if declarer leads the $\& \mathrm{~J}$ off the dummy, we will duck, while if they try to sneak a low one past us we will rise king to block the suit before returning a minor suit back to dummy. Note that we cannot cash the club king after winning the ace of diamonds. If declarer has the club ten, they will be able to unblock dummy's jack under our king to build an entry.
Here is the full hand:

| DIr: South <br> Vul: All | - AK J 84 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - 3 |  |
|  | -KJ 104 |  |
|  | + A J 2 | You (E) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& Q } 1062 \\ & * \text { K } 76542 \\ & \text { 2 } \\ & + \text { Q } 10 \end{aligned}$ | N | ¢ 975 |
|  |  | -98 |
|  |  | - A Q 875 |
|  | S | \& K 76 |
|  | - 3 |  |
|  | - AQJ 10 |  |
|  | -963 |  |
|  | -9 9854 |  |

If you return a heart, declarer has an easy time making three spades, three hearts, two diamonds and one club for their nine tricks. A minor suit return gives declarer no chance. North-South must lose a spade, a heart, two diamonds and a club.

Point to remember: When a weak dummy is on display, it is easy to realise that we should hold up our stoppers and deny dummy any entries. Exactly the same tactics can be applied when the weak hand ends up as declarer, but it is often harder to find.


VISIT HELEN AND PAUL AT OUR STALL
LATEST NEW BOOKS
Bridge at the Table by Samantha Punch \$42.95
Barbara's Bridge Tips by B Seagram \$34.95
Bridge with a Twist by Simon Cocheme \$29.95
Gaining the Mental Edge by Kim Frazer \$34.95
Detecting Cheating in Bridge
by Nicholas Hammond
\$39.95
Insights on Bridge 2 by Mike Lawrence \$29.95
Bidding Topics 2 by Eric Rodwell
\$29.95
(Bidding Topics 1 and $2 \$ 50.00$ )

Paul 0408888085 Helen 0418144534 Office 0242950870 EMAIL paul@bridgegear.com WEBSITE www.bridgegear.com


VISIT HELEN AND PAUL AT OUR STALL
Tablecloths
Velvet in green or blue with optional suit symbols in the corner $\$ 65.00$


Corduroy in blue, green or coral \$30.00


Pen Sets, enamel, four pens with
 its own suit symbol $\$ 20.00$

Hand towels, soft and fluffy 10.00


Slant Pads, 3 designs $\$ 7.00$

Plus boxer shorts, umbrellas, scarves, socks, visors, shoulder bags, jewellery, mouse pads and much more. Full range of card holders, bidding boxes, cards and home bridge supplies.

Paul 0408888085 Helen 0418144534 paul@bridgegear.com WEBSITES www.bridgegear.com OR giftware at 6nt.com.au


## TABLE COUNT

## TO THE END OF PLAY THURSDAY NIGHT 3635 (Last GCC 2020: 8046, and in 2019: 8078)

## Player number by state:

ACT 33 NSW 295 VIC 152 QLD 663 SA 45 WA 4
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { TAS } 8 & \text { NT } 3 & \text { Foreign } 5 & \text { NZ } 2 & \text { Youth } 13\end{array}$

## THE KLINGER QUIZ

Ron Klinger


This series of quizzes consist of problems that were faced in real life tournaments. Here is the seventh problem.

You are sitting as West, declaring in 3NT after the following auction below. North leads the $\$ 9$.

Teams
Dealer: South
Vul: Both

| West | East | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢ A J | - K 2 | -- | -- | -- | 24 ${ }^{1}$ |
| - A J 62 | - Q 83 | Dbl | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| - Q | -K8754 | 3NT | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| \& K Q 6432 | \& J 95 | (1) W | k two, | , 6-1 | points |

Contract: 3NT by West
Lead: 99 by North, two from East, three from South (low-like), won by your $\uparrow \mathbf{J}$.
Plan the play. Clubs are 2-2.

## Solution:

This deal was from a Summer Festival of Bridge National Women’s Teams / National Seniors' Teams.


Some East-West pairs were -400 in $3 N T$. West won the 99 lead and played the \&Q. North won and played a second spade, taken in dummy. Declarer then took the heart finesse. North won and switched to the $\downarrow 2$. South took the $\checkmark A$ and five spades for four down.

The $\boldsymbol{\$ 9}$ lead looks like top from a doubleton. That gives South seven spades headed by the Q-10. South is highly unlikely to have both missing aces and probably not one ace and the 0 K as well.

Declarer is always OK if North began with a doubleton spade and both minor-suit aces. As the cards lie, declarer can succeed by playing the $<$ at trick 2, but that would be silly if the minor-suit aces were in opposite hands.

Declarer wins the first spade and tackles clubs. That would be fine if South had the \&A and North the $\forall A$. When North wins with the \&A and continues spades, declarer wins and should play off five rounds of clubs. That is seven tricks. West then plays the $<$ Q. If North has the $\langle A$, the contract is now secure. When the $\Delta A$ is with South, at least you are not so many down.

## Bridge with Ron Klinger

Playing To Win At Bridge*
Intermediate/Advanced/Expert \$22.00
Bid Better, Much Better (Advanced bidding system after opening 1NT) Adv/Expert \$16.00
Postage for 1 book: \$5; for both, post free.
Order from Suzie Klinger, 0411229703 or email suzie@ronklingerbridge.com
Bridge holidays: Currently on hold.
*‘Playing To Win At Bridge is as good a quiz book as has ever appeared.' (The Bridge World)


## Visit Adelaide for the <br> 2022 Autumn Nationals

## Thursday 28th April - Monday 2nd May 2022

Ridley Centre, Adelaide Showground, Wayville

## Gold Masterpoints | PQPs in Open and Mixed events

Butler Swiss Pairs (Open, Mixed, Under Life, Under Grand)
Swiss Teams (Open, Under Life, Under Grand)

New Events
Under Grand Pairs
Under Grand Teams

Changes
All matches now 14 boards
9.30am start each day

Tournament Organiser: Barbara Travis
Phone: 0437919 928|Email: anot@abf.com.au
To enter or for more information, see MyABF


Bridge Federation Inc
PLAY YOUR CARDS RIGHT


# VISIT THE TBIB TEAM AT TBIB's KIOSK IN THE FOYER AT THE GOLD COAST CONGRESS ON THE Monday 21st February TILL <br> Friday 25th February 2022. 

The team can talk to you about ABF Travel Insurance or any of your insurance matters right there at the GCC

## You can talk to us about

- Travel insurance
- Home and contents and motor vehicle insurance
- Insurance for your business
- Cover for your investment or commercial property and,
- Insurance for your Bridge Club


## Try your luck at the TBIB Prize Wheel and the Lucky Star!

> Each day, 5 names will be drawn from the congress register and those 5 will get a chance to spin the TBIB Prize Wheel to win Bridge gift vouchers and a bunch of TBIB goodies. Don't miss out!

| Final Qualifying Scores After 12 Rounds - OPEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 2 | N Jacob - G Tislevoll - T Nunn - L Milne - P Dalley - J Coutts |  |  |  |  | 172.05 |
| 2 | 6 | J Haffer - J Thompson - A Spooner - P Markey |  |  |  |  | 170.99 |
| 3 | 8 | M Doecke - S Fischer - D Hoffman - J Tutty - W Jenner-O'Shea - P Reynolds |  |  |  |  | 150.63 |
| 4 | 3 | M Lewis - M Van Der Vlugt - C Hughes - K Morrison |  |  |  |  | 150.28 |
| 5 | 4 | A Kanetkar - I Thomson - M Thomson - P Buchen |  |  |  |  | 147.60 |
| 6 | 7 | A Watson - R Roeder - P Fordham - M Hughes - B Neill |  |  |  |  | 147.18 |
| 7 | 16 | L Adams - A Peake - S Harrison - M Bloom |  |  |  |  | 146.97 |
| 8 | 15 | J Mill - M Watts - M Prescott - S Crompton |  |  |  |  | 146.12 |
| 9 | 5 | G Smolanko - P Lavings - A Braithwaite - A De Livera - R Krochmalik - G Kozakos |  |  |  |  | 144.67 |
| 10 | 1 | N Edgtton - S Hans - A Hung - S Ashton - P Gill - T Leibowitz |  |  |  |  | 144.23 |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 11 | 24 | Wilkinson | 141.24 | 41 | 22 | Dobes | 115.39 |
| 12 | 18 | Frazer | 140.67 | 42 | 54 | Arul | 115.20 |
| 13 | 19 | Lu | 138.28 | 43 | 55 | Perrin | 114.12 |
| 14 | 25 | Askew | 137.61 | 44 | 46 | Bodycote | 114.01 |
| 15 | 58 | Moore | 133.73 | 45 | 44 | Van Vucht | 112.94 |
| 16 | 20 | Richman | 133.34 | 46 | 42 | Wilks | 112.29 |
| 17 | 12 | Humphries | 133.18 | 47 | 45 | Mangos | 111.66 |
| 18 | 9 | Hutton | 133.05 | 48 | 48 | Rutkowski | 111.18 |
| 19 | 27 | Parker | 131.90 | 49 | 63 | Varga | 110.31 |
| 20 | 28 | Mayo | 131.75 | 50 | 36 | Moses | 109.85 |
| 21 | 14 | Gue | 131.38 | 51 | 56 | Stralow | 109.55 |
| 22 | 26 | Hoffman | 130.17 | 52 | 30 | Allan | 109.05 |
| 22 | 10 | Pollock | 130.17 | 53 | 69 | Flicker | 106.40 |
| 24 | 21 | Bird | 128.96 | 54 | 52 | Hill | 106.29 |
| 25 | 38 | McGrath | 127.48 | 55 | 51 | Kumar | 105.89 |
| 26 | 43 | Martin | 127.35 | 56 | 47 | Barwick | 105.81 |
| 27 | 23 | McLeish | 125.85 | 57 | 34 | Wallis | 105.38 |
| 28 | 13 | Magee | 125.47 | 58 | 29 | Walters | 104.22 |
| 29 | 17 | Ward | 124.67 | 59 | 41 | Fanos | 104.17 |
| 30 | 50 | Schoutrop | 124.53 | 60 | 35 | Tillotson | 103.35 |
| 31 | 59 | Klugman | 123.17 | 61 | 68 | O'Reilly | 102.48 |
| 32 | 40 | Goodman | 122.75 | 62 | 49 | Hackett | 99.36 |
| 33 | 11 | Smith | 121.45 | 63 | 62 | Young | 98.45 |
| 34 | 32 | Maluish | 119.25 | 64 | 65 | Lindsay | 97.49 |
| 35 | 31 | Collins | 119.05 | 65 | 53 | Van Wyck | 95.87 |
| 36 | 67 | Ajzner | 118.80 | 66 | 60 | Mill | 86.64 |
| 37 | 33 | Berger | 118.52 | 67 | 57 | Tait | 80.07 |
| 38 | 37 | Kingham | 118.50 | 68 | 70 | Procel | 79.91 |
| 39 | 39 | Lamport | 118.07 | 69 | 64 | Cordiner | 70.97 |
| 40 | 61 | Hyland | 117.72 | 70 | 66 | Clarke | 56.09 |

## Final Qualifying Scores After 12 Rounds - Under 1500

| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | J Dunbar - J McMahon - C McMahon - N Ranson |  |  |  |  | 161.17 |
| 2 | 6 | F Hymus - K Homik - T Anagnostou - M Pisko |  |  |  |  | 149.26 |
| 3 | 5 | B Assaee - B Bolt - J Kelly - D Kwok |  |  |  |  | 148.79 |
| 4 | 24 | A Corkhill - W Gibson - L Norman - C Newbery |  |  |  |  | 145.97 |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 5 | 3 | Evans | 145.80 | 18 | 8 | Ranke | 118.20 |
| 6 | 2 | Li | 139.01 | 19 | 26 | Swanson | 117.73 |
| 7 | 4 | Hamilton | 138.04 | 20 | 13 | Rigano | 116.69 |
| 8 | 15 | Guthrie | 133.60 | 21 | 10 | Nunn | 116.54 |
| 9 | 28 | Baldwin | 130.48 | 22 | 27 | Nothling | 112.53 |
| 10 | 11 | Davies | 128.40 | 23 | 14 | Litzow | 111.89 |
| 11 | 9 | Wood | 124.18 | 24 | 25 | Huddart | 107.45 |
| 12 | 21 | Van Weeren | 123.92 | 25 | 17 | Eastman | 105.97 |
| 13 | 30 | Johnson | 123.81 | 26 | 7 | Thatcher | 98.77 |
| 14 | 19 | Wanigaratne | 123.73 | 27 | 12 | Boyce | 94.68 |
| 15 | 20 | O'Donohue | 120.62 | 28 | 29 | Burrie | 85.62 |
| 16 | 16 | Brown | 118.84 | 29 | 22 | Crawt | 73.25 |
| 17 | 23 | Chaffey | 118.76 | 30 | 18 | Palmer | 66.30 |


| Final Qualifying Scores After 12 Rounds - Intermediate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 3 | A Riepsamen - J Lassman - D Christian - G Elich |  |  |  |  | 168.46 |
| 2 | 22 | K Murray - R Holgate - N Williams - P Fitzmaurice |  |  |  |  | 152.24 |
| 3 | 6 | G Azar - R Gryg - M Byrne - W Van Bakel |  |  |  |  | 142.88 |
| 4 | 19 | J Churchett - T Henderson - M Simpson - D Hartwig |  |  |  |  | 141.66 |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 5 | 15 | Bradshaw | 140.36 | 24 | 13 | Jenkins | 117.32 |
| 6 | 16 | Rayani | 140.31 | 25 | 17 | Barfoot | 115.52 |
| 7 | 30 | MacEy | 139.51 | 26 | 18 | Lloyd | 112.15 |
| 8 | 41 | Meyers | 139.50 | 27 | 21 | Peacock | 111.69 |
| 9 | 20 | Sutherland | 136.55 | 28 | 10 | Koster | 110.51 |
| 10 | 40 | Ramsund | 136.52 | 29 | 1 | Kelley | 110.20 |
| 11 | 8 | Roark | 135.54 | 30 | 2 | Robinson | 105.48 |
| 12 | 4 | Argent | 133.63 | 31 | 23 | McClintock | 105.46 |
| 13 | 5 | Chamberlin | 133.31 | 32 | 9 | Gibson | 102.87 |
| 14 | 31 | Glover | 124.73 | 33 | 39 | Treloar | 102.25 |
| 15 | 26 | Eastman | 123.41 | 34 | 25 | Rosetta | 99.65 |
| 16 | 33 | Stevens | 123.12 | 35 | 29 | Willcocks | 99.28 |
| 17 | 14 | Williams B | 122.80 | 36 | 34 | Beckman | 98.50 |
| 18 | 32 | Weber | 121.72 | 37 | 28 | Coppin | 95.54 |
| 19 | 27 | Walsh | 121.35 | 38 | 38 | Hegarty | 92.84 |
| 20 | 37 | Boyd | 120.79 | 39 | 36 | Nadebaum | 92.58 |
| 21 | 11 | Powis | 120.11 | 40 | 35 | Van Kruistum | 81.92 |
| 22 | 12 | Delorenzo | 118.21 | 41 | 42 | House | 37.97 |
| 23 | 24 | Ward | 117.65 | 42 | 7 | Cameron | 35.25 |

Final Qualifying Scores After 12 Rounds - Restricted

| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  | Score |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | 11 | C Taberner - M Poynten - T White - J Andrew |  | 168.08 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 26 | S Hall - R Thomson - J Gissing - R Clark |  | 158.30 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1 | G McKenzie - M Brown - J Parsons - J Russell |  | 147.56 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 28 | A Smuts - H Henn - F Trescowthick - S Acton |  | Team | Score |  |  |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. |  | 146.52 |
| 5 | 2 | Stammel | 146.08 | 27 | 48 | Bonic | 117.54 |
| 6 | 3 | Markovic | 144.76 | 28 | 31 | Moss | 116.83 |
| 7 | 45 | Leiba | 142.93 | 29 | 27 | King | 116.34 |
| 8 | 23 | Carter S | 142.37 | 30 | 34 | Hooper | 116.13 |
| 9 | 14 | Males | 141.41 | 31 | 39 | Jaffe | 115.50 |
| 10 | 46 | Smith A | 136.26 | 32 | 42 | Bickford | 114.01 |
| 11 | 35 | Clarke | 135.46 | 33 | 18 | Ledger | 113.88 |
| 12 | 9 | Petterson | 133.60 | 34 | 38 | Innes | 112.81 |
| 13 | 12 | Barnes | 133.14 | 35 | 6 | Hamilton-Reen | 110.25 |
| 14 | 36 | Speller | 131.72 | 36 | 7 | Knight | 109.38 |
| 15 | 30 | Clarebrough | 131.57 | 37 | 32 | Gilmour | 108.14 |
| 16 | 4 | Mladen | 127.87 | 38 | 33 | Blair | 106.15 |
| 17 | 47 | Carter J | 127.55 | 39 | 41 | Anderson | 104.41 |
| 18 | 43 | Williams | 126.37 | 40 | 15 | Love | 100.56 |
| 19 | 16 | Stoney | 125.06 | 41 | 44 | Sheldrake | 96.45 |
| 20 | 19 | Sharp | 124.25 | 42 | 22 | Carter B | 94.43 |
| 21 | 10 | Ting | 123.10 | 43 | 17 | Sharp | 94.40 |
| 22 | 8 | Junge | 122.91 | 44 | 24 | Howard | 91.72 |
| 23 | 13 | Acworth | 121.30 | 45 | 20 | Brink | 91.50 |
| 24 | 5 | Neary | 121.28 | 46 | 21 | Nugent | 91.24 |
| 25 | 29 | Howitt | 119.23 | 47 | 25 | Smith M | 77.45 |
| 26 | 40 | Pappas | 117.81 | 48 | 37 | Sargent | 65.87 |


| Final Qualifying Scores After 12 Rounds - Novice |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Place | No. | Team Members |  |  |  |  | Score |
| 1 | 10 | J McGowan - V Brewis - W Cuthbert - L Jia |  |  |  |  | 159.78 |
| 2 | 8 | I Van Altena - H Bridgman - D Niesler - D George |  |  |  |  | 149.03 |
| 3 | 13 | P Harnack - M Harnack - L Lee - G Dobson |  |  |  |  | 141.42 |
| 4 | 2 | I Franklin - J Borg - J Vazirzadeh - D Chatman |  |  |  |  | 132.07 |
| Place | No. | Team | Score | Place | No. | Team | Score |
| 5 | 1 | Sydes | 131.91 | 12 | 6 | Whelan | 118.01 |
| 6 | 18 | Douglas | 129.03 | 13 | 7 | Green | 110.97 |
| 7 | 9 | Burley | 128.59 | 14 | 15 | McIntosh | 105.93 |
| 8 | 17 | Edwards | 125.93 | 15 | 5 | Mathieson | 101.13 |
| 9 | 16 | Morris | 122.82 | 16 | 4 | Barrett | 93.33 |
| 10 | 3 | Bennion | 122.58 | 17 | 11 | Friedlaender | 85.16 |
| 11 | 12 | Ryan | 120.44 | 18 | 14 | Stockley | 81.87 |

## 0-50 MP Butler Swiss Pairs

| O-50 MP Butler Swiss Pairs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Rank | Pair | Names | Total | Rank | Pair | Names | Total |
| 1 | 3 | Louise Bennett - Stephen Bennett | 90.54 | 13 | 23 | Tracey Sisson - Andrew Sisson | 61.02 |
| 2 | 22 | Jenny Munro - Helen Blake | 80.05 | 14 | 19 | Gwynne Harris - Mike Martin | 57.17 |
| 3 | 15 | Faye Fatseas - Jacqui Dudurovic | 75.18 | 15 | 21 | Philip Kerr - Ange Kerr | 56.87 |
| 4 | 10 | Linda Neil - Sue Calthrop | 73.06 | 16 | 13 | Jan Ford - Kathryn Kelly | 54.58 |
| 5 | 1 | Tom Ferris - Lee Chenoweth | 71.31 | 17 | 6 | Marie Downing - Selby Downing | 54.17 |
| 6 | 5 | Julie Navruk - Jenny Thompson | 67.59 | 18 | 16 | Neil Sadler - Cia Adermann | 51.90 |
| 7 | 11 | Debbie Carroll - Jacinta Lee | 66.23 | 19 | 24 | Wandini Noal - Ken Greig | 51.77 |
| 8 | 18 | Peter Green - Sue Green | 65.27 | 20 | 9 | Irene Hayward - Lois Munro | 50.19 |
| 9 | 7 | Lesley Rouse - Kim Rouse | 64.58 | 21 | 8 | Margot Mckellar - Julia Caldwell | 49.57 |
| 10 | 4 | Althea Crowley - Persephone Lobb | 64.50 | 22 | 20 | Jo Keylar - Chris Trimblett | 41.99 |
| 11 | 17 | Peter Leggo - Daphne Leggo | 63.49 | 23 | 12 | Anna De Borah - Anna Oprysa | 37.88 |
| 12 | 14 | Cheryl Bell - David Phillips | 62.80 | 24 | 2 | Beth Randall - Margaret Wayland | 28.29 |

Thursday Rookie Pairs

| Place | North-South | $\%$ | Place | East-West | $\%$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Barry Braithwaite - Marcia Cameron | 71.13 | 1 | Carole Bloomer - Jill Cavanagh | 59.52 |
| 2 | Ria Mylrea - Maureen Mcnamara | 59.82 | 2 | Richard Huysmans - Chris Pohle | 56.55 |
| 3 | Marjory Harms - Jane Gordon | 52.38 | 3 | Linda Mortimer - Adam Marks | 51.79 |
| 4 | Lorraine Launer - Sylvia Ricketts | 51.49 | 4 | Thomas Block - Joy Block | 50.89 |
| 5 | Christine Jensen - Sandra Lawrence | 45.54 | 5 | Mary Flint - Debbie Adams | 50.30 |
| 6 | Lynne Henderson - Susan Green | 44.64 | 6 | David Baru - Gary Rickard | 49.70 |
| 7 | Marie Low - Sandy Spies | 43.75 | 7 | Maryann JAMES - Virginia PROWSE | 47.32 |
| 8 | Ros Arthur - Andrew Gordon | 42.56 | 8 | Leonie Swann - Kathy Van Geest | 44.64 |
| 9 | Jenni Hansen - Linda Gough | 38.69 | 9 | Debbie Usmar - Lexie Yeates | 39.29 |

Sage Thursday PM Walk-In Pairs

|  | N-S | Score |  |  | Score |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Tony Thorne - Elizabeth Thorne | 65.43 | 1 | Lavy Libman - Tomer Libman | 63.27 |
| 2 | Margie Hullah - Nick Hullah | 60.49 | 2 | Eva Shand - Les Varadi | 59.41 |
| 3 | James Williamson - Janelle Williamson | 59.26 | 3 | Kevin Ridgway - Peter Neil | 55.25 |
| 4 | Pauline Erby - Gil Clarke | 58.80 | 4 | Adele Harington - Nev Harington | 54.48 |
| 5 | Kerry Flanagan - Helen Andrew | 53.09 | 5 | Robyn Carroll - Jenny Walpole | 53.86 |
| 6 | Pat Sleat - Ray Ingielewicz | 49.85 | 6 | Jenny Paterson - Max Paterson | 49.23 |
| 6 | Lise Allan - Rilla England | 49.85 | 7 | Claire Vanderloos - Clemens Vanderloos | 48.92 |
| 8 | Colleen Berry - Val Dawson | 46.30 | 8 | Steve Murray - Ann Murray | 47.53 |
| 9 | Sydney Rowan - Jayne Francis | 45.99 | 9 | Helen Zerphey - Ada Krawczyk | 46.91 |
| 10 | Robert Morton - Matthew Roberts | 43.83 | 10 | Rozalyn Wright - Lesley-Ann Parry | 46.76 |
| 11 | Bob Davies - Siobhan Davies | 39.35 | 11 | Anka Saundry - Peter Saundry | 46.14 |
| 12 | Leif Michelsson - Hanna Majewski | 39.04 | 12 | Robyn Stanhope - Pauline Lane | 43.52 |
| 13 | Carol Sheldrake - Helen Hobbs | 38.73 | 13 | Heather Douglas - David Douglas | 34.72 |

## GOLD COAST CONGRESS 2022



| CALENDAR OF SOCIAL AND OTHER |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Venue | Friday 25th February |
| NOVCE AND ROOKIE ACTMTES |  |  |
| Novices Help Available | In the Playing Area Novices Section | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9:30am } \\ & \text { to } \\ & 10: 00 \mathrm{am} \end{aligned}$ |
| SOCIAL AND OTHER ACTMVITES |  |  |
| TBIB Daily Prize Wheel <br> You Must be Present to Win. A TBIB lucky star under a chair in main playing area for a spin opportunity | Foyer GCCEC |  |
| Champagne Breakfast For Shoe Shoppers In Her Shoes | In Her Shoes Ground Floor Oasis Shopping Centre | 8:15am |
| BRIDGE 'MIDONS' ACTMVIES |  |  |
| Please note that in 2022, we will have one bus and one people carrier. All events, we require patrons to be fully vaccinated. Numbers limited, pre bookings welcome |  |  |
| Unhosted Surfers Paradise River Cruises Cost \$5 bus, \$29 pp for cruise | Depart Ground Floor Air on Broadbeach | Depart 1:15pm return appx 3:30pm |
| Other Options: Harbour Town, or Skypoint Surfers Paradise | Please contact/enquire |  |
| Contacts: Sue 0424830158 • Lana 0481187578 • gcchospitality@qldbridge.com.au | Golf Enquiries: <br> Ian Wright 0417194347 • <br> ian83@me.com | Friday 25th February |

SOLUTION TO YESTERDAY'S CHESS PROBLEM SOLUTION TO YESTERDAY'S SUDOKU [MEDIUM]


| 4 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 6 | 9 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 1 |
| 5 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 9 |
| 3 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 5 |
| 9 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 4 |
| 8 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 2 |
| 1 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 7 |
| 6 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 9 | 1 | 8 |
| 7 | 8 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 3 |

Black to move and win

1. gxf3 Bxc3
2. Rxd8 Rxd8 3. Qxc3 Rd1+ 4. Kg2 Nf4+
3. $\mathrm{Kg} 3 \mathrm{Ne} 2+$ 6. Kh 3 Nxc 3

TODAY'S CHESS PROBLEM


TODAY'S SUDOKU [HARD]

| 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7 |  | 4 | 3 |  |  |  | 6 |  |
|  |  | 9 |  |  | 6 |  | 5 |  |
| 5 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 1 |
|  | 4 |  | 9 |  |  | 5 |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  | 5 | 1 |  | 6 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 7 |

Black to move and win

[^0]

Friday $17^{\text {th }}$ to Saturday 25 $^{\text {th }}$ February 2023 GOLD COAST CONVENTION CENTRE, BROADBEACH

Kim Ellaway•manager@qldbridge.com.au +61412064903•+61733518602 qldbridge.com.au/gcc


[^0]:    Solutions in the next bulletin issue

