



4th to 16th July 2026
Bulletin No 3: 8 July 2026



Cooleman Ridge
Photograph: Barry McGloin

Today's Bulletin

A lot of events now underway and we have progress leaderboards for the Interstate, the Sean Mullamphy Country Club Teams and the Canberra Bridge Club Three Day teams; and final results of the first two one-day Swiss Pairs; and the names of the Tuesday raffle draw winners.

There are also details of the ABF's new awards for Youth Players; a selection of the hands that divided the interstate players, No 3 in Ron Klinger's Workouts, 'Born to Run' from Bruce Neill; and our fashion correspondent looks at what is hot in this year's representative players' system cards.

Interstate Qualifying Rounds: Days: End of Round 1

Open

Place	Team Names	VPs
1	New South Wales	376.90
2	Victoria	292.00
3	South Australia	284.00
4	Australian Capital Territory	257.40
5	Tasmania	220.30
6	Western Australia	205.00
7	Queensland	194.40
8	Northern Territory	129.20

Women

Place	Team Names	VPs
1	Western Australia	334.3
2	New South Wales	299.2
3	South Australia	280.5
4	Victoria	244.0
5	Tasmania	236.2
6	Australian Capital Territory	171.2
7	Queensland	148.7

Seniors

Place	Team Names	VPs
1	Australian Capital Territory	353.2
2	South Australia	320.6
3	New South Wales	301.0
4	Queensland	273.9
5	Victoria	245.8
6	Western Australia	137.9
7	Tasmania	81.1

Youth

Place	Team Names	VPs
1	New South Wales	385.3
2	Australian Capital Territory	360.5
3	Victoria	319.8
4	South Australia	271.6
5	Queensland	225.0
6	Western Australia	111.2

Congress Events Leader Boards

Canberra Bridge Club Three-Day Teams: One day to go

Place	Team Name	VPs
1	Carter	105.72
2	Birkby	86.61
3	Solomon	84.67
4	Brahma	84.18
5	Lokan	79.05

Sean Mullamphy Country Clubs Teams Championship: Rounds 1-7

Place	Team Names	VPs
1	Mollymook	104.26
2	Ballina	104.05
3	Batemans Bay	102.11
4	Southern Highlands	98.55
5	SCBC Namadgi	96.67

Monday Swiss Pairs

Open

Place	Pair Names	VPs
1	George Zuber - John Kelly	87.75
2	Robin Ho – Alexander Cook	83.22
3	Jeff Newton – Tsachi Pilossof	70.38
4	Ralph Edwards – Jean Hydleman	67.86
5	Larissa Cowlshaw – Lesley Gunson	67.31

<300

Place	Pair Names	VPs
1	Jeff Newton – Tsachi Pilossof	70.38
2	Kathryn Jagot – Rod Nesbitt	64.15
3	Karlee Guest – Rhonda Williams	64.06
4	Hannah Fuzi – Jeffrey Eisman	60.06
5	John McLellan – Carol Swaine	53.67

Tuesday Swiss Pairs

Open

Place	Pair Names	VPs
1	Robyn Stanhope – Pauline Lane	72.82
2	Robin Ho – Alexander Cook	71.47
3	Diana Waddell – Garth Hogg	66.08
4	Pam Harvey – Ingrid Stephenson	66.02
5	George Zuber – John Kelly	64.50

<300

Place	Pair Names	VPs
1	Diana Waddell – Garth Hogg	66.08
2	Jeff Newton – Tsachi Pilosoff	63.87
3	Hannah Fuzi – Jeffrey Eisman	60.79
4	Karlee Guest – Rhonda Williams	58.20
5	Kathryn Jagot – Rod Nesbitt	39.84

Photo Gallery



Ronnie at Work



Paddy Taylor and
Anthony Mills
Winners: <1000
Australian Bridge



Opening the Country
Club Teams
Championship

Tuesday Morning Youth Raffle Draw Winners

\$50 voucher to the Labor Club Canberra: Mary Valentine

Voucher to the Lighthouse (20% off): Laura Ginnan

Hamper donated by Angeline Christie: Niek Van Vucht

Wine and Mug: Jing Chua

The Real Deal Workout with Ron Klinger #3

Teams: Dealer West : East-West vulnerable

North

♠ 9 6

♥ 6

♦ K Q J 8 6 2

♣ K Q J 5

East

♠ A 5 3

♥ Q 10 7 3

♦ A 9 5

♣ 9 6 2

The Real Deal Workout with Ron Klinger

Improve your game with five columns per week by email, just \$49 per annum or \$10 per month.

Bridge questions and answers emailed to you, featuring deals from actual events, local and overseas.

For details and samples, visit www.ronklingerbridge.com

To subscribe, please contact: suzie@ronklingerbridge.com

West

Pass

Pass

Pass

North

1♦

3♥ (1)

5♣

East

Pass

Double

All pass

South

2♣

3NT

(1) 4+ clubs, 0-1 heart

West leads the **HA: six** . . . Which heart would you play as East? Full discussion at the end of the Bulletin.

Would you like more articles on interesting bidding and play of the hands at this ANC?



For various reasons, most of the work on the Bulletin has to be done off-site – so we are mainly reliant on players and spectators for information. You can send material to the editor at historian@abf.com.au. If you don't have time to put together a polished article, Keith can write it up for you. Just email with your contact details or text or call the number on the editor's box on the help desk.

New Youth Awards for the Interstate Teams Championship

By Laura Ginnan (ABF Youth Coordinator)

The ANC Interstate Teams Championship has a long tradition of celebrating state team performance. Each year, the partnership with the top scoring datum is also recognised for their exceptional results in the qualifying stage. This year, two new awards are being introduced in the Youth Division to also celebrate qualities beyond the scoreboard.

The **Players' Choice** Award, inspired by the World Bridge Federation's Joan Gerard Award, recognises a player who exemplifies aptitude, fair play, sportsmanship, and a positive spirit, while fostering an inclusive environment free from discrimination.

The **Rising Star** Award is for newer players and recognises not only strong results and observed performance, but also the qualities that underpin future success: a positive attitude, a willingness to learn, demonstrated potential for excellence, and a positive impact at the table.

These awards have been introduced with the support of the ABF Youth Committee, the ABF Board, and myself as the National Youth Coordinator. The inaugural recipients will be announced at the Interstate Teams Championship presentation and will each receive three online expert coaching sessions (more about that later in the week).

By recognising character, sportsmanship, and potential alongside performance, these awards celebrate the values that strengthen the game, after all, success in bridge is about far more than the final result.

I'd like to thank the ABF Youth Committee, Jenny Thompson (ABF Youth Liaison), David Fryda (ABF Executive Director) Sebastian Yuen as well as the to be announced expert panel who award recipients will be able to work with for their part in bringing these awards to life.

FULL AWARD DETAILS AND PROCESS:

Players' Choice Award

Recognises aptitude, fair play, sportsmanship, and a positive spirit, while rejecting any form of discrimination during the ANC Youth ITC qualifying stage.

- Individual award

Rising Star Award

An award for newer players recognising strong results and observed performance, as well as demonstrated potential for future excellence through attitude, learning mindset, and positive impact at the table during the ANC Youth ITC qualifying.

Eligibility

- Players competing in their 1st or 2nd ANC Youth Teams Championships
 - NPCs are responsible for verifying eligibility and must provide a list of eligible players by 5:00pm Wednesday July 8th, 2026.
- Individual or partnership nominations permitted
- Nominees must have played at least 50% of matches (rounded down) (e.g. 7/15)
- If nominated as a partnership, both players must meet the 50% requirement in the same partnership
- Nominees must not have been on any ABF-affiliated team prior to this calendar year
- Must not be a member of the current year ABF ratified U26, Open, Women's or Mixed team

Additional notes

- Where a partnership is nominated, votes are recorded for the partnership as a unit
- Where an individual is also nominated separately, nominations are combined

- Example: Pair (A & B) receives 5 nominations, Player A receives 2 individual nominations → Player A total = 7 nominations

Nomination process (both awards)

- Nominations open: 9:00am Thursday 9 July
at <https://form.jotform.com/261862571678874>
- Nominations close: 11:59am Friday 10 July
- Nominations collected via online voting, managed by the National Youth Coordinator
- Voting is final once submitted
- Eligible nominators: players contesting the ANC Youth ITC and Youth ITC Team Captains
- One nomination per person per award
- Nominations are optional
- Individuals may not nominate participants from their own state/territory
- A clear winner must lead by at least two (2) nominations

Tie-break process

1. Captains' nominations are reweighted at double value
2. If tied or only one nomination clear, joint winners will be awarded (this will be reviewed for 2027)




Born To Run

By Bruce Neill

On board 5 in Match 4, Dave Wiltshire of the NSW open team pulled off a coup usually only seen in magazines. Dave was West. His partner opened 1NT, 14-16. South was unable to double with his 18 points, because that would be artificial in his methods. When he passed reluctantly, Dave with his 6 points bid 3NT.

When South doubled 3NT, Dave of course removed himself to 4D, which went quietly one off for a 12 IMP pickup when 4H was made at the other table.

BD: 5		♠ T65	Dir: N	
		♥ T8732	Vul: NS	
		♦ 7		
		♣ QT97		
♠ Q42			♠ K873	
♥ 5			♥ K4	
♦ KJ96542			♦ A8	
♣ 82			♣ KJ654	
		♠ AJ9		
		♥ AQJ96		
		♦ QT3		
		♣ A3		
	♣	♦	♥	♠
N			4	
S			4	
E	1	3		2
W		3		



PLAY YOUR CARDS RIGHT

More Swing Hands:

BOARD 25									
BD: 25		♠ J64				Dir: N Vul: EW			
		♥ Q96							
		♦ KQ6543							
		♣ 4							
♠ T2						♠ A875			
♥ 8754						♥ AKT2			
♦ J82						♦ 7			
♣ AKQ7						♣ J863			
		♠ KQ93							
		♥ J3							
		♦ AT9							
		♣ T952							
	♣	♦	♥	♠	NT				
N		3				8			
S		3				10			12
E	3		4		1	10			
W	3		4		1				

Match 2: Board 25

Just under half of declarers bid the making 4H. In the Open only two got there. Most of the remaining E-Ws played in 2H (almost all with West as declarer).

Four N-S 's were allowed to play in diamonds. This was very profitable for the three that made; and a lot better than 4H when not.

While there was more than one reason for not bidding game, North could possibly have had a key role in the dynamic here, either way, with a weak opener if in their system, an overcall or silence.

BOARD 4								
BD: 4		♠ AJT		Dir: W Vul: ALL				
		♥ T52						
		♦ QT8						
		♣ AQJT						
♠ K874			♠ Q9632					
♥ J98			♥ KQ4					
♦ A9652			♦ J4					
♣ 3			♣ 965					
		♠ 5						
		♥ A763						
		♦ K73						
		♣ K8742						
	♣	♦	♥	♠	NT			
N	4	1	3		3		14	
S	4	1	3		3	8		8
E				2			10	
W				2				

Match 3: Board 4

This was another marginal (in point count terms) N-S game with the likely hindrance of some E-W spade bidding.

A majority of N-S pairs got to game only in the Open. Overall 7 declarers found 3NT with 6 making. One pair made the Moysian 4H. Five pairs were in successful part-scores – 3 in NT and 2 in clubs.

Only 4 E-W pairs were allowed to play in spades (all at the three level and all but one undoubled). Two pairs went down in 5C.

BOARD 27								
BD: 27		♠ J2		Dir: S Vul: None				
		♥ AJ						
		♦ AKJT964						
		♣ 92						
♠ AKQ87				♠ 964				
♥ QT72				♥ 98654				
♦ 875				♦ Q				
♣ 5				♣ AK73				
		♠ T53						
		♥ K3						
		♦ 32						
		♣ QJT864						
	♣	♦	♥	♠	NT			
N	1	3					14	
S	1	3					11	9
E			3	4				6
W			3	4				

Match 4: Board 27

Contracts were 4S (W) x 5, 4H(E) x 5, 3S (W) x3, 3D (N) x2, 5D (N) X2, 5DX (N) x1.

Getting to a making game was not straightforward with a combined 20 HCP including a singleton Queen in the opponents' suit, diamond interference and a choice of majors where the 5-3 worked better than the 6-4.

4S almost always made; 4H went off 3 out of 5 times if the defence continued with diamonds after the lead. Playing in diamonds paid well, particularly making,

but even going off was quite profitable.

Match 4: Board 20

One of the things that came out of the analysis of last year's ANC results was that if you bid a slam it was mostly a better than even chance that you would get a very good board if you made or the reverse if not – as it was rare that any slam was bid by a majority of declarers.

This was certainly the case here where only five got there across the fields. It's never going off but there seems to be a bit of fine detail that it would be nice to know in getting there. We would love to hear of interesting sequences.

BOARD 20				
BD: 20	♠ 7	Dir: W Vul: ALL		
	♥ KQJT983			
	♦ AQ9			
	♣ Q6			
♠ K986		♠ J3		
♥ 62		♥ 754		
♦ 82		♦ J43		
♣ AT973		♣ KJ852		
	♠ AQT542			
	♥ A			
	♦ KT765			
	♣ 4			

	♣	♦	♥	♠	NT			
N		6	6	4	2		14	
S		6	6	4	2	7		6
E	1						13	
W	1							

BOARD 17					
BD: 17		♠ J7542		Dir: N Vul: None	
		♥ Q652			
		♦ 32			
		♣ T5			
♠ AKT				♠ Q9	
♥ 8				♥ AKT97	
♦ AQJ85				♦ 764	
♣ K984				♣ AQ2	
		♠ 863			
		♥ J43			
		♦ KT9			
		♣ J763			
	♣	♦	♥	♠	NT
N					
S					
E	7	7	5	5	7
W	7	7	5	5	7

	3	
17		15
	5	

Match 6: Board 17

And the best is saved for last. There are not many hands where you can make a grand slam by reasonably normal play in three denominations or where you can make 5S on a 3-2 fit.

Nobody bid the grand but 15 out of 20 declarers did bid slam – 6D x 6, 6NT x7 and 6C x 2 with the remainder in 3 or 4NT. One pair made the more stressful 6C contract and one went off.

Five of the 6D bids came from the Open section. Elsewhere 6NT was the slam

du jour. As seems to be the pattern over time, in no field did all declarers bid six.

Australian Bridge
Your national bridge magazine

ASIA CUP SENIORS TEAM

Asia Cup Senior Champions:
David Hudson, Terry Brown,
Ian Thomson, Paul Lavings,
Peter Gill, Michael Courtney

In this month's issue...

- Medals for Senior and Mixed Teams at the Asia Cup
- Barbara Travis reports on the Victorian Festival
- New Series by John Brockwell on the history of AB
- Bidding challenge visits the USBC Open Trials

Volume 47, No 2
August-September 2020
ISSN 0014-1889
Registered by Australia Post
Publication number 100027231
www.australianbridge.com

Below the main cover are four smaller thumbnails of other magazine covers.

Australian Bridge Magazine will keep you abreast of what's going on, and provide you with ideas to improve your game from the world's best writers.

- **Regular columns from Ron Klinger, Paul Lavings, Tim Bourke, Liam Milne, David Bird, Larry Cohen, Danny Roth and Andrew Robson.**
- **Improve your skills with articles and quizzes for players of all levels.**
- **Compete with the experts in our bidding forum, and in our new online bidding challenge feature.**

**\$49 for one year (4 issues) or
\$92 for two years (8 issues)**

**Email Brad at mail@australianbridge.com
or phone 0412 335 840**

Also available now: our Online edition aimed at club players, \$25 per year (6 issues)



Paul Lavings
Bridge Supplies
plavings@gmail.com (02) 4295 0870



CLUB
SUPPLIES



NEW &
2nd HAND
BOOKS




GIFTWARE
ANTIQUES

RECENT BRIDGE BOOKS

"Reading bridge books is the best way to improve your game"

Playing and Defending Slams by David Bird
How to bring home more of your slam contracts and defeat more of theirs.
\$29.95



Bridge: A Love Story by Zia Mahmood.
Join Zia as he travels the world over the decades.
\$44.95



Improve Your Slam Bidding by Marc Smith.
Answers all your slam bidding questions.
\$29.95



Twelve Important Bridge Lessons, Declarer Play: The Next Level by David Bird. Advanced techniques.
\$34.95



Be a Difficult Bridge Opponent by David Bird & Marc Smith.
24 chapters, each with around 10 hands, detailing expert techniques.
\$34.95



Twelve Important Bridge Lessons by David Bird. Techniques in declarer play.
\$34.95



Be a Difficult Matchpoint Opponent by David Bird & Marc Smith.
A masterful book on an awesome topic. 24 chapters, each with 8 or 9 hands describing matchpoint tactics.
\$34.95



Twelve Important Bridge Lessons on Defense by David Bird.
A complete course on defence.
\$34.95



Tales From The Past by Avon Wilsmore.
High level cardplay and adventures on the Australian bridge scene.
\$34.95




100 Bridge Tips for Club Players by Rakesh K. Kumar. Invaluable suggestions to help the club player improve their results.
\$29.95



My Life With Bridge by Mike Lawrence.
Great stories, great hands.
\$44.95



The Magic of Defense by Barbara Seagram with Caroline Sydnor.
Takes the newer player rapidly to intermediate level. **\$36.95**



Visit our website: PLBS.com.au OR
www.bridgegear.com.au for secondhand
Phone calls or emails are always welcome: 0408 888 085

Systems a la Mode

A Window to the Good and Great


One of the benefits of the ANC is that those of us whose future probably always lies in the side movements can see on the website the system cards of a reasonably sizeable group of high-level players. The popularity of systems and conventions does seem to wax and wane over time and it seemed there might be some interest in a rough summary of what high-level systems look like in 2026.

As always, with these sort of exercises, the potential for error in manual coding and need for interpretation mean that small differences in outcomes should not be regarded as having any significance.

Green is Good

Across the four sections, about 90 per cent of the systems in play this year have a green sticker. The remainder were more or less evenly divided between blue and red systems, with just one yellow.

Figure 1 shows the main types of system being used.



2/1 is easily the most preferred broad system type with Standard American adding to the majority that play 5-card majors. The two 4-card major systems take up three-quarters of the rest. The relatively few strong club

afficionados are spread among several systems. The highly unusual system is a medium forcing pass.

An important sign of generational change is that there are no Seniors representatives playing Culbertson.

Systems and sticker colours

If the system cards are any kind of guide, it's probably true that identifying the basic system or even a convention tells you a lot less than in earlier times about what people play in the fine detail. They pretty much all need an “-ish” added to the label. It should also be noted that systems do not always get the colour sticker you would expect – a system that is mainly Standard American can still be red.

Once you get into the weeds, there is a lot of complexity lurking – particularly in the variability of bids depending on circumstances, increased use of relays and transfers in different situations, point range variability or wide point ranges, and adjustments to options in common conventions.

Just under a half of the Open field, and around a quarter of the Women and Seniors now play transfers over 1C; and they are also found in responses to weak twos, alternatives to stayman and at the three level.

The description of a bid as ‘weak’ also involves a level of pre-emption and aggression that would not generally be found at club level – where the number zero in point counts would not figure as commonly as it does here.




MERCURE
HOTEL
CANBERRA
BELCONNEN
Jenny Wang
CONFERENCE & EVENT COORDINATOR

🏠 59 Cameron Avenue Belconnen, ACT 2617
☎ +61 (0) 25104 3000
✉ Jenny.Wang@mercurebelconnen.com.au
🌐 www.mercurebelconnen.com.au

All
ACCOR - LINK LIMITLESS




1 No Trump



When defined by the top of the possible range, just under two-thirds play a strong NT. However, compared with most club bridge, NT bids are not what they used to be and a significant proportion of system cards alert to frequency of off-shape no-trump bids and a fair bit of discretion when it comes to point range. A relatively small number play a variable NT based on vulnerability.

Responses to 1NT




Simple Stayman is heavily preferred over systems to find a 5 card major; and this is most marked in the Open Section. The small 'other' category involves such things as feature showing or transfers/ relay sequences. On the basis of the system cards, about a quarter of the field combine stayman with other conventions, such as Smolen, puppet, or Garbage Stayman.

Competing over their NT

Particularly in the Open, there are almost as many variations in bids over opponents' NT as there are system cards. However, looking at it on a bid by bid basis, it is pretty much Multi-Landy world or something more like it than anything else.

Double generally shows values / penalty oriented, often with a single suit option against a strong NT. 2C is overwhelmingly both majors. The favoured option for 2D is a single suit major – but any single suit or both majors have some support, and DONT and canape transfers also feature.

2H and 2S overwhelmingly show that major and an unspecified minor; the only significant variation is as a natural bid. 2NT, where it appears on the system card, is mostly weak and both minors, but it is heavily omitted in system cards, and particularly so in the Youth field.



Opening Twos

This is an area where there is a lot of variation in the detail but Figure 4 attempts to characterise the openings by their main feature. All involve a strong 2C. 'Natural' mostly means all remaining 2 level suit bids show

various ranges of a weak hand and 5 or 6 card suit. The Youth field accounts for almost half of the natural opening twos – the proportion is significantly less in the other fields.

Two-suit showing bids mostly show a single major with 2D while 2H and 2S show two-suited hands with at least the major named. In ‘major showing’ two bids, the bids of 2D and 2 of a major are mostly used to show different strength major bids or to differentiate 5/4 and 4/5 major two suiters.

About three-quarters of the field play 2NT as strong and balanced-ish. About one in six show weak and both minors. There is a small ‘other’ category mostly showing various good preemptive or better minor-oriented hands. A non-trivial number do not show the bid on the system card.

Jump Raises

About three-quarters of the field use a jump raise to show weak/ preemptive support, sometimes requiring 4-card support, sometimes not; and some requiring a singleton. Constructive support or better make up most of the remainder. The Open field was slightly more likely to opt for a non-preemptive meaning.

Jump Shifts

Overall, there is close to unanimity on minor to major jump shift being natural and a large majority showing it weak. Minor over minor jump shifts are mostly divided between (mostly invitational) raises of some kind (including splinters) or, particularly at the two level, natural (mostly weak) suits – with the raises having the edge. It is reasonably common to apply different rules to jump shifts over 1C compared with 1D. The Open has the most approaches that do not fit well into either category.

Jump shifts over majors are, with the exception of the Open, almost always Bergen or Bergenish. In the Open, if opening twos is an area where ‘a thousand flowers bloom’, jump shifts are where any attempt in detail to divide bidding sequences into a few neat categories comes to die.

Taking into account all the permutations of meanings and point counts, only a few system cards had the same system. Bergen or mostly Bergen


accounted for about a quarter and compared with the other sections there was a lot more interest in showing splinters, weak and strong natural suits, and other variations in showing specific point counts.

Slams

Roman Keycard Blackwood is almost universal and almost all play 1430. Cues are almost as common as an alternative slam finder. Just under half of the Womens and Seniors fields, a quarter of the Open, and just a couple of the Youth play Gerber over NT.

Just under half of the Open, Womens and Seniors fields use alternative keycard suit conventions such as Minorwood or Kickback, used by only one pair in the Youth. About a quarter of the Womens and Seniors fields, and just over a third of the Open, play void-showing slam tries.

The main difference between the fields in slam bidding lies in the far greater frequency and level of detail about asking bids, particularly in the later stages of slam bidding, from the Open section. It is always an open question as to how much bidding gadgets affect results but in 2025, the Open section did lead the way in successfully bidding grand slams, and it will be interesting to look at the final total this time around.



The National Museum: Photograph: Barry Ridgway

The Real Deal Workout with Ron Klinger (cont)

Teams: Dealer West : East-West vulnerable

North

♠ 9 6

♥ 6

♦ K Q J 8 6 2

♣ K Q J 5

East

♠ A 5 3

♥ Q 10 7 3

♦ A 9 5

♣ 9 6 2

West	North	East	South
Pass	1♦	Pass	2♣
Pass	3♥ (1)	Double	3NT
Pass	5♣	All pass	

(1) 4+ clubs, 0-1 heart

West leads the **HA: six** . . . Which heart would you play as East?

Considerations

You might be wondering about the double of **3H**. East might have thought that West could have length in hearts and if so, a heart lead would be best against **3NT**. A lead-directing double against a potential **3NT** would usually be expected to indicate a stronger suit, say, a 5+ suit including at least three honours.

The opponents have bid to game. You have 10 HCP. Add partner's **HA** = 14. The only card that is certain to help you is the **SK** with West and that is not very likely.

As long as South has at least one diamond – and why not? – you have the contract beaten with the **SA** and the **DA**. How can you direct partner's attention to spades?

When dummy has a singleton, a popular approach is to give a suit-preference signal. The appropriate card to ask for a spade would be the **DQ**. High card asks for the high suit. Even if partner switches to a diamond, you will beat **5C** unless South is void in diamonds.

The deal arose in the semi-finals of the 2024 Vanderbilt (USA Knockout Teams) and, good news finally, the same deals were played in both matches.

Board 16: Dealer West : East-West vulnerable

		North	
		♠ 9 6	
		♥ 6	
		♦ K Q J 8 6 2	
		♣ K Q J 5	
West		East	
♠ 10 8 7 4 2		♠ A 5 3	
♥ A 9 5 4 2		♥ Q 10 7 3	
♦ 10 4		♦ A 9 5	
♣ 4		♣ 9 6 2	
		South	
		♠ K Q J	
		♥ K J 8	
		♦ 7 3	
		♣ A 10 8 7 3	

At one table:	West	North	East	South
	Pass	1♦	Pass	2♣
	Pass	3♥ (1)	Double	3NT
	Pass	5♣	All pass	
	(1) 4+ clubs, 0-1 heart			

In theory, declarer has three aces to lose in **5C**. West led the **HA** and East played the **H3**. Whatever the **H3** was intended to mean (reverse count??),

West did not find a killing switch. West continued with a low heart. South was able to discard two spades from dummy on the **HK-J**. Declarer played **CK, CA**, and the **D3: four – king – ace**. South had no problem coming to 11 tricks, North-South +400.

At the other table, North opened **1D** and South responded **2C**. An artificial auction followed, ending in **3NT** by South. West led **H4: six – queen – king**. After **D7** to the **DK**, winning, South played **S6** from dummy. East rose with **SA** and returned the **H3, jack, ace**. East-West took 4 hearts, the **SA** and **DA** for two down., East-West +100,

Board 16: Dealer West : East-West vulnerable

		North	
		♠ 9 6	
		♥ 6	
		♦ K Q J 8 6 2	
		♣ K Q J 5	
West		East	
♠ 10 8 7 4 2		♠ A 5 3	
♥ A 9 5 4 2		♥ Q 10 7 3	
♦ 10 4		♦ A 9 5	
♣ 4		♣ 9 6 2	
		South	
		♠ K Q J	
		♥ K J 8	
		♦ 7 3	
		♣ A 10 8 7 3	

At one table in the other semi-final, North-South bid **1D : 2C, 2S** (no explanation) : **2NT, 3C : 3NT, all pass**. West led the **H4** and South went two down, similarly to **3NT** down two in the other semi-final: East-West +100.

At the other table:

West	North	East	South
Pass	1 ♦	Pass	2 ♣
Pass	3 ♥ (1)	Double	3NT
Pass	4 ♦ (2)	Pass	4 ♠ (3)

Pass


5 ♦

All pass

- (1) 4+ clubs, 0-1 heart
- (2) Intended as natural, possibly taken by East as a cue-bid
- (3) Cue-bid

East led **S3** and luckily that did not cost much. Declarer won in dummy and played a diamond to the king and ace. East cashed **SA** and switched to the **H7, king, ace**. Declarer had the rest, but that was one down, East West +50, but -2 IMPs.

Many a defence is ruined by the choice made at trick 1.



The English Garden in Commonwealth Park
Photograph: Graeme Taylor



2027 AUTUMN NATIONALS

WEDNESDAY 28TH APRIL – SUNDAY 2ND MAY 2027

Ridley Centre, Adelaide Showground, Wayville

TBIB AUTUMN NATIONAL TEAMS AND THE AUSTRALIAN BLUE RIBBON PAIRS:

Wednesday 28th April
Thursday 29th April

Friday 30th April

and

Saturday 1st May

Sunday 2nd May

SWISSTEAMS:

Open, Under Grand, Under Life categories
(Open Teams Final: Friday 30th April)

ONE-DAY SWISS BUTLER PAIRS

Under Grand, Under Life, Novice, Rookie

BLUE RIBBON PAIRS: Open (Qual)

**BLUE RIBBON PAIRS: Open (SF),
Under Grand and Life (Qual)**

**BLUE RIBBON PAIRS: All Finals
and consolations**

PQPs in Open Teams and Blue Ribbon Pairs (Open)

South Australian

Bridge Federation Inc

ABF
